

IN THE APPLICATION

OF

TED S. FASCA

FOR AN

EMISSIONS MANAGEMENT AND POLICY MAKING SYSTEM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
220

09/25/99

EMISSIONS MANAGEMENT AND POLICY MAKING SYSTEM

RELATED APPLICATION DATA

This application is based on Provisional Patent Application Serial Number 60/155,058 filed on September 21, 1999.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a management tool for reviewing historical data and performing forecasting simulations relating to pollutant emissions from power plants and the like.

2. DESCRIPTION OF RELATED ART

Stricter limitations on the amounts of pollutants and so called greenhouse gases have necessarily made pollutant emissions a controlling factor in management decisions regarding the operation of electric power plants. Power generated by burning fossil fuels leads to the production of the oxides of nitrogen, collectively referred to as NO_x. In addition, sulfurous compounds are emitted into the air by coal burning power plants. All fossil fuel burning power plants produce carbon monoxide (CO) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) as byproducts of the combustion process. Carbon

monoxide is produced due to incomplete combustion of hydrocarbons, is toxic, and is generally produced in lesser amounts than CO₂. Carbon dioxide, while not toxic itself, has been implicated as a cause of global warming due to the greenhouse effect.

5 Restrictions on the amounts of the aforementioned substances that may be emitted are prescribed by law and are enforced by governmental agencies. The power plant manager has several options in reducing the emission rate of these pollutants in order to comply with existing regulations. The rate of emission of all
10 these pollutants can be reduced by reducing the overall power output of the plant. The overall power output of the plant can be reduced, and thus the pollutant output, can be reduced by planned outages and/or by purchasing power from elsewhere. Emission of sulfurous compounds such as SO₂ can be reduced by using gas
15 scrubbers and/or by changing fuels from high sulfur coal to low sulfur coal or oil. Emission of nitrogen oxides can additionally be mitigated by operational changes affecting efficiency and/or combustion conditions of the power plant, by changing fuel types, and by installing Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) equipment.
20 Increasing the proportion of air to fuel can help mitigate CO emissions. The emission of CO₂ can additionally be reduced by increasing the efficiency of the power generation process such that less fuel has to be burned for each megawatt of electricity generated. The efficiency of the power generation process can be increased by using technologies such as cogeneration and waste heat recovery.

With so many choices facing the power plant or electric utility manager in the effort to meet the cap on pollutant emissions, it becomes difficult for the manager to pick the right strategy or combination of strategies to maximize the profit to the electric utility while complying with the limits on the emission of pollutants. The need exists for a management tool that allows the electric utility manager to forecast the company's profit for every available strategy and combination of strategies for complying with the limits on pollutant emissions, and then to select the pollution control scheme that yields the maximum profit to the utility. In addition, it would be desirable for such a tool to use real time data to automatically update the input parameters to the decision making process and revise the forecasted outcomes for the various strategies considered. Such a tool would of necessity, given the voluminous amount of data that need to be processed and the need for automatic and continuous updates, have to be implemented at least in part using a computer system. Although computer implemented management system have been proposed in the art, as evidenced by the references cited below, none of the references is seen to address the problem of finding the optimum pollution control strategy for an electric power generating plant.

U.S. Patent Number 3,775,592, issued to Masahisa Ando et al. on November 27, 1973, is related to a feedback process control system. The system of Ando et al. uses pattern recognition wherein the coordinates defined by the process output specify a point on

the pattern. Control signals are generated as a function of the nature of the specified point on the pattern.

U.S. Patent Number 4,628,462, issued to Richard E. Putman on December 9, 1986, is related to a control system for a cogeneration power plant which controls the power output to match the actual demand. The system of Putman does not deal with the simulation of future pollutant emissions.

U.S. Patent Number 5,063,506, issued to John C. Brockwell et al. on November 5, 1991, is related to a computerized cost optimization system for minimizing the cost of supplying parts. The computer calculates the cost of a particular supply method such as air freight, ocean freight, land freight, on site storage, and warehouse storage, and the computer then presents the results to a user.

U.S. Patent Number 5,548,528, issued to James D. Keeler et al. on August 20, 1996, is related to a virtual sensor that can function as a pollutant sensor when the actual sensor is down so as to provide uninterrupted monitoring of pollution emission. The Keeler et al. system uses a stored model of the plant and measured control inputs to the plant to generated a virtual pollution sensor output to the control system of the plant. The keeler et al. system does not generate forecasts of future pollutant emissions based on projected demand for power generation.

U.S. Patent Number 5,712,985, issued to Michael D. Lee et al. on January 27, 1998, is related to a computerized demand

forecasting and production scheduling system for forecasting the demand for a particular business item.

U.S. Patent Number 5,797,129, issued to Drius Rohan on August 18, 1998, is related to a computerized control system for a multi-resource, multi-task environment where at least one resource is shared between tasks. The system of Rohan can be used to schedule tasks for optimal resource usage and elimination of bottlenecks.

U.S. Patent Number 5,826,238, issued to Wen-Lin Chen et al. on October 20, 1998, is related to a method and system for operating a data base computer system and a resource allocation computer for allocating resources within a manufacturing plant.

U.S. Patent Number 5,826,040, issued to Hugh E. Fargher et al. on October 20, 1998, is related to a method for planning the production schedule within a factory. Each job is divided into a plurality of processing segments and the segments are processed in accordance to a fuzzy set algorithm.

U.S. Patent Number 5,845,258, issued to Brian M. Kennedy on December 1, 1998, is related to a computer based planning system where a plan is compared to system behavior and then the plan is adjusted according to a selected strategy.

U.S. Patent Number 5,890,133, issued to Michael Ernst on March 30, 1999, is related to a computer based method for dynamic optimization of business processes. The Method of Ernst uses parameters, process data and result data and uses stored data to identify a business process having propitious result data. The method of Ernst then requires that the parameters be modified

according to the business process having propitious result data and the actual results to be verified subsequent to the modification of the parameters.

Canadian Patent Number 959169, issued to Jerry R. McIntyre et al. on December 10, 1974, is related to a computer based method for calculating the fractions of various products within the product stream from a gas well in order to properly price the product stream as a function of the composition of the product stream and the market price of the product stream's various fractions.

None of the above inventions and patents, taken either singly or in combination, is seen to describe the instant invention as claimed.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to a management tool that allows the electric utility manager to forecast the company's profit for every available strategy and combination of strategies for complying with the limits on pollutant emissions, and then to select the pollution control scheme that yields the maximum profit to the utility. In addition, the system of the present invention uses real time data to automatically update the input parameters to the decision making process and revise the forecasted outcomes for the various strategies considered. The management tool of the present invention may also include an optimization module which

automatically determines the profit maximizing strategy and outputs the result to the utility manager.

The management tool of the present invention is implemented using a computer. The computer has communication capabilities which allow the computer to obtain real time data regarding a power plant's power output and pollutant emissions from remotely located sensors and/or control systems. The computer may also include communications links to the utility's administrative, financial, and archival computers in order to facilitate the inputting of historical and financial data that are needed to make projections or forecasts regarding energy production, profits or costs, and pollutant emissions. The present invention also includes one or more continuous emission monitors (CEMs) which are in constant communication with the computer.

Accordingly, it is a principal object of the invention to provide an interactive graphical tool for reviewing historical data and performing forecasting simulations relating to pollutant emissions from power plants and the like.

It is another object of the invention to provide a tool for simulating the outcomes of various pollution control strategies using real time data.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a management tool that allows the electric utility manager to forecast the company's profit for various pollution control strategies and to select the pollution control scheme that yields the optimal result for the electric utility company.

Still another object of the invention is to provide a management tool which automatically determines the optimum pollution control strategy and outputs the result to the utility company manager.

5 It is an object of the invention to provide improved elements and arrangements thereof in an apparatus for the purposes described which is inexpensive, dependable and fully effective in accomplishing its intended purposes.

10 These and other objects of the present invention will become readily apparent upon further review of the following specification and drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a flow chart showing the emission management process of the present invention.

15 Fig. 2A is a diagram showing the layout of the display presented to a user for viewing and entering historical data for use in the emission management process of the present invention.

20 Fig. 2B is a detailed view of the first field of the display presented to a user for viewing and entering historical data for use in the emission management process of the present invention.

Fig. 2C is a detailed view of the second field of the display presented to a user for viewing and entering historical data for use in the emission management process of the present invention.

Fig. 2D is a detailed view of the third field of the display presented to a user for viewing and entering historical data for use in the emission management process of the present invention.

Fig. 2E is a detailed view of the fourth field of the display presented to a user for viewing and entering historical data for use in the emission management process of the present invention.

Fig. 2F is a detailed view of the fifth field of the display presented to a user for viewing and entering historical data for use in the emission management process of the present invention.

Fig. 2G is a detailed view of the sixth field of the display presented to a user for viewing and entering historical data for use in the emission management process of the present invention.

Fig. 2H is a detailed view of the seventh field of the display presented to a user for viewing and entering historical data for use in the emission management process of the present invention.

Fig. 3 is a diagrammatic view of the screen presented to the user for inputting fuel costs.

Fig. 4 is a diagrammatic view of the screen for displaying the results of pollutant emission projections for the entire utility to a user.

Fig. 5 is a diagrammatic view of the screen for displaying the results of pollutant emission projections for one power station to a user.

Fig. 6 is a diagrammatic view of the screen for displaying the results of pollutant emission projections for one unit within the power station to a user.

Fig. 7 is a diagrammatic view of the emissions management and policy making system of the present invention, showing the continuous emission monitoring device communicating with the computer programmed to forecast pollutant emissions.

5 Similar reference characters denote corresponding features consistently throughout the attached drawings.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

10 The present invention relates to a computer based management system designed to aid managers of utilities and electric power generating plants in managing the emissions of pollutants from their plants. Stricter limitations on the amounts of pollutants and so called greenhouse gases have necessarily made pollutant emissions a controlling factor in management decisions regarding the operation of electric power plants. Power generated by burning
15 fossil fuels leads to the production of the oxides of nitrogen, collectively referred to as NO_x . In addition, sulfurous compounds are emitted into the air by coal burning power plants. All fossil fuel burning power plants produce carbon monoxide (CO) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) as byproducts of the combustion process. Carbon
20 monoxide is produced due to incomplete combustion of hydrocarbons, is toxic, and is generally produced in lesser amounts than CO_2 . Carbon dioxide, while not toxic itself, has been implicated as a cause of global warming due to the greenhouse effect.

Restrictions on the amounts of the aforementioned substances that may be emitted are prescribed by law and are enforced by governmental agencies. The power plant manager has several options in reducing the emission rate of these pollutants in order to comply with existing regulations. The rate of emission of all these pollutants can be reduced by reducing the overall power output of the plant. The overall power output of the plant, and thus the pollutant output, can be reduced by planned outages and/or by purchasing power from elsewhere. Emission of sulfurous compounds such as SO₂ can be reduced by using gas scrubbers and/or by changing fuels from high sulfur coal to low sulfur coal or oil. Emission of nitrogen oxides can additionally be mitigated by operational changes affecting efficiency and/or combustion conditions of the power plant, by changing fuel types, and by installing Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) equipment. Increasing the proportion of air to fuel can help mitigate CO emissions. The emission of CO₂ can additionally be reduced by increasing the efficiency of the power generation process such that less fuel has to be burned for each megawatt of electricity generated. The efficiency of the power generation process can be increased by using technologies such as cogeneration and waste heat recovery.

With so many choices facing the power plant or electric utility manager in the effort to meet the cap on pollutant emissions, it becomes difficult for the manager to pick the right strategy or combination of strategies to maximize the profit to the

electric utility while complying with the limits on the emission of pollutants. The present invention is a management tool that allows the electric utility manager to forecast the companies profit for every available strategy and combination of strategies for
5 complying with the limits on pollutant emissions, and then to select the pollution control scheme that yields the maximum profit to the utility. In addition, the system of the present invention uses real time data to automatically update the input parameters to the decision making process and revise the forecasted outcomes for
10 the various strategies considered. Furthermore, the management tool of the present invention may include an optimization module which automatically determines the profit maximizing strategy and outputs the result to the utility manager.

The management tool 10 of the present invention is implemented
15 using a computer system 12. The computer system 12, as shown in Fig. 7, is merely a diagrammatic representation. The actual computer system used to implement the present invention can be a microcomputer, a minicomputer, a mainframe computer, a computer network, a parallel processing computer, a multiprocessor computer,
20 or any other suitable type of computer system. At a very minimum the computer system 12 includes at least one central processor unit (CPU), a mass storage device such as a hard disk, random access memory (RAM), an input device such as a keyboard, and an output device such as a monitor. In addition, the computer system 12 should include a communication capability in order to obtain real time data regarding a power plant's power output and pollutant

emissions from remotely located sensors and/or control systems. The computer system 12 may preferably include a removable storage medium such as a floppy disk drive and/or communications links to the utility's administrative, financial, and archival computers in order to facilitate the inputting of historical and financial data that are needed to make projections or forecasts regarding energy production, profits or costs, and pollutant emissions.

The system 10 also includes one or more continuous emission monitors 14 (CEMs) and means 16 for continuously monitoring the power output of the generating plant. CEMs are well known and continuously measure the concentrations of selected pollutants in the discharge from the plant's smokestacks. The means 16 can simply be the equipment already being used to provide power output readings to the plant's control room, with the added capability of communicating the power output data in real time to the computer system 12.

Input data 18 includes all the historical data, all the cost data, all the customer data, and all the price data that are needed to initially calculate projected output, emissions, and profits. Input data 18 also includes operational limitations and constraints, including the available pollutant emission reduction options, that are specific to the particular plant under consideration. The input data 18 are used in conjunction with the real time data from the power output measuring means 16 and the CEMs 14. The outputs 20 of the system 10 include the plant operational parameters, the costs associated with those operational

parameters, the net profit associated with those operational parameters, and the pollutant emissions associated with those operational parameters.

Referring to Fig. 1, the overall process of the present invention for managing emissions can be seen. Figs, 2A-2H and 3-6 show the various input and output screens that are presented to the plant operator or user on the screen or monitor of the computer 12. The process begins by entering the necessary historical data and other known or guessed information into the computer 12. Fig. 2A shows the screen 22 presented to the user or plant operator so that the operator or user can input, browse, and verify the historical data. The screen 22 has seven fields 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, and 36, arranged as shown in Fig. 2A.

Referring to Fig. 2B, the details of the field 24 can be seen. The field 24 is an interactive display having several subfields which allow the user to specify a particular unit of a particular power station for which historical data are to be displayed. Each subfield within the field 24 has a scroll button 38 which allows the user to view a list of options for that particular subfield and to then pick the appropriate option from the list, or the user may specify a value or option for a subfield by typing that value into the box for that subfield. The user can specify the name of the unit for which historical data are to be displayed by inserting the name of the particular unit into the box 40. In the example illustrated in Fig. 2B, station F - Unit 2 has been specified by the user.

Each electric utility encompasses several power stations with each power station being formed by several electrical generating units. Usually, each unit includes a steam generator which can be fired by coal, oil, or both. Alternatively, the unit may be a gas turbine which powers a generator directly. In addition to the type of fuel, the rate at which pollutants are emitted by a unit, for a given power output, is also affected by the design or model of the particular electrical generating unit. Subfield or box 42 allows the user to enter the unit type or model for the particular unit under consideration. The information entered in subfield 42 allows the computer to generate and maintain a database regarding the operating characteristics of the particular unit type using historical information, or the unit type may be used to access data regarding the operating characteristics of the particular unit type that may have been preprogrammed into the computer as an add-on module to the program being executed by the computer 12. Such information may for example be supplied by the unit's vendor. Furthermore, the subfield 42 identifies the physical nature of the particular unit under consideration to the computer system 12.

The subfield 44 allows the location of the unit to be entered into the computer. Subfield 46 allows the user to specify a type of fuel. Subfield 46 allows the user to limit the historical data displayed to data obtained while the chosen unit was being powered by the specified fuel. Subfields 48 to 52 allow the user to specify the time period for which historical data are to be displayed. Subfield 48 specifies that historical data are to be

displayed for the selected quarter of the year, and the subfield 48 can have the values one, two, three, and four which refer to the first, second, third, and fourth quarter of the year, respectively. Subfield 50 specifies a season as the period for which historical data are to be displayed. The options for the subfield 50 can be spring, summer, fall, and winter or user defined seasons such as the ozone season which runs from May 1st to September 30th of each year. Subfield 52 specifies a particular month as the period for which historical data are to be displayed. In the example illustrated in Fig. 2B, September has been specified by the user.

The subfield 54 allows the user to specify the type of display that is used to present the historical data. For example, the user may choose to have average values, for power generated and pollutants emitted during the specified period, displayed as a function of the hour of the day. Alternatively, the user may choose to have daily averages, for power generated and pollutants emitted, for each day of the specified period displayed chronologically. The subfield 56 allows a user to specify a particular day of the week for which historical data, averaged over the previously specified time period, are to be displayed. For example, if Thursday was selected, then power generated and pollutants emitted, averaged over all the Thursdays in the specified period, would be displayed as a function of the hour of the day. The subfield 58 allows the user to specify a particular calendar date for which hourly values for the power generated and

the pollutants emitted are to be displayed for the entire 24 hour period covered by that date.

Referring to Fig. 2C, a detailed view of the field 26 of the display 22 can be seen. The field 26 has a first subfield 60 and a second subfield 62. The subfield 60 displays a summary of the values for NO_x emissions for the time period specified by the user in field 24. Displayed in the subfield 60 are the average rates of NO_x emissions, for the user specified time period, expressed in three different units. The first number shows the average NO_x emission expressed as the mass of NO_x produced per unit of heat input into the process. The mass of NO_x is expressed in pounds and the heat input is expressed in millions of British Thermal Units. The second number in the subfield 60 is the average rate of NO_x emission, for the specified period, expressed in pounds per hour. The third number in the subfield 60 is the average rate of NO_x emission, for the specified period, expressed in tons per hour. The bottom entry in the subfield 60 is the cumulative or total amount of nitrogen oxides produced over the specified period in units of tons. Subfield 62, titled gross generation information, shows the average power output for the specified period in megawatts. Subfield 62 also shows the cumulative or total amount of energy produced over the specified period in units of megawatt hours.

The averages displayed on the screen 22 are calculated from the historical data using well known techniques. For example, to calculate the average rate of NO_x production in lbs/hr, the

instantaneous rate of NO_x production in lbs/hr, as reported by the CEM devices, is plotted as a function of time (in units of hours) for the specified time period. The area under this curve gives the total amount of NO_x produced or emitted over the entire duration of the specified period. This total amount of NO_x is then divided by the duration of the specified period in hours in order to obtain the average rate of NO_x production in lbs/hr.

Referring to Fig. 2D, a detailed view of the field 28 of the display 22 can be seen. The field 28 has a first subfield 64 and a second subfield 66. The subfield 64 displays a summary of the values for SO₂ emissions for the time period specified by the user in field 24. Displayed in the subfield 64 are the average rates of SO₂ emissions, for the user specified time period, expressed in three different units. The first number shows the average SO₂ emission expressed as the mass of SO₂ produced per unit of heat input into the process. The mass of SO₂ is expressed in pounds and the heat input is expressed in millions of British Thermal Units. The second number in the subfield 64 is the average rate of SO₂ emission, for the specified period, expressed in pounds per hour. The third number in the subfield 64 is the average rate of SO₂ emission, for the specified period, expressed in tons per hour. The bottom entry in the subfield 64 is the cumulative or total amount of nitrogen oxides produced over the specified period in units of tons. Subfield 66, titled heat input information, shows the average heat input rate for the specified period in millions of BTUs per hour. Subfield 66 also shows the cumulative or total

amount of heat input to the electric generation process over the specified period in units of millions of BTUs.

Referring to Fig. 2E, a detailed view of the field 30 of the display 22 can be seen. The field 30 has a first subfield 68 and a second subfield 70. The subfield 68 displays a summary of the values for CO₂ emissions for the time period specified by the user in field 24. Displayed in the subfield 68 are the average rates of CO₂ emissions, for the user specified time period, expressed in three different units. The first number shows the average CO₂ emission expressed as the mass of CO₂ produced per unit of heat input into the process. The mass of CO₂ is expressed in pounds and the heat input is expressed in millions of British Thermal Units. The second number in the subfield 68 is the average rate of CO₂ emission, for the specified period, expressed in pounds per hour. The third number in the subfield 68 is the average rate of CO₂ emission, for the specified period, expressed in tons per hour. The bottom entry in the subfield 68 is the cumulative or total amount of carbon dioxide produced over the specified period in units of tons. Subfield 70, titled operating information, shows the number of hours that the unit has been in operation over the specified period and the number of data points for this period. In the illustrated example emission and power output readings are available for every hour that the unit was in operation.

Referring to Fig. 2F, a detailed view of the field 32 of the display 22 can be seen. Field 32 generally shows the curve for the NO_x rate in lbs/mmBTU superimposed on the gross generation in

megawatts as functions of time. This type of representation allows the degree of correlation between the gross generation and the NO_x emission rate to be visualized by the user. The horizontal axis of the graph in field 32 is in units of time. Depending upon the time period specified by the user, the horizontal axis may be marked in hours, days, or months of the year. Also, depending on the display type specified in subfield 54, the data for each hour of the day may be averaged over every day in the time period specified by the user and the results displayed with hours on the horizontal axis of the graph in field 32.

Referring to Fig. 2G, a detailed view of the field 34 of the display 22 can be seen. Field 34 generally shows the curve for the SO₂ rate in lbs/mmBTU superimposed on the curve for the gross generation in megawatts as functions of time. This type of representation allows the degree of correlation between the gross generation and the SO₂ emission rate to be visualized by the user. The horizontal axis of the graph in field 34 is in units of time. Depending upon the time period specified by the user, the horizontal axis may be marked in hours, days, or months of the year. Also, depending on the display type specified in subfield 54, the data for each hour of the day may be averaged over every day in the time period specified by the user and the results displayed with hours on the horizontal axis of the graph in field 34.

Referring to Fig. 2H, a detailed view of the field 36 of the display 22 can be seen. Field 36 generally shows the curve for the

CO₂ rate in lbs/mmBTU superimposed on the curve for the gross generation in megawatts as functions of time. This type of representation allows the degree of correlation between the gross generation and the CO₂ emission rate to be visualized by the user.

5 The horizontal axis of the graph in field 36 is in units of time. Depending upon the time period specified by the user, the horizontal axis may be marked in hours, days, or months of the year. Also, depending on the display type specified in subfield

10 54, the data for each hour of the day may be averaged over every day in the time period specified by the user and the results displayed with hours on the horizontal axis of the graph in field 36.

Referring to Fig. 3, the screen 72 presented to the user for inputting, browsing, or verifying fuel costs can be seen. The

15 screen 72 has three simulated buttons 74, 76, and 78 which are arranged vertically on the left side of the screen. To the right of the simulated buttons 74, 76, and 78 is a table 80 displaying current fuel types and costs per unit of heat value. The table 80 also has a column 82 for forecasted fuel costs expressed in dollars

20 per unit of heat value. The table 80 shows fuel costs on an enterprise-wide basis, displaying fuel costs for each power station within the entire electric utility. The table 80 can be edited by the user if changes to the fuel costs are necessary. Also the user can enter forecasted fuel costs in column 82 if the user has forecasted fuel costs available to him or her. Alternatively, forecasted fuel costs can be obtained automatically from other

computers within the enterprise, or fore casted fuel costs may be obtained on-line from a fuel vendor. Eight simulated buttons 84, 86, 88, 90, 92, 94, 96, and 98 are provided near the top of the screen 72 and allow the user to navigate to other screens such as the historical data screen 22 or screens showing forecasted pollutant emissions.

The first step in the pollution management process of the present invention is to calculate a projected energy demand for a time period specified by the user using the historical data regarding power demand for the prior calendar year. For example, if the user required a projection of the power demand over the ozone (May 1st to September 30th) season in 1999, then the power demand for each day of the ozone season of 1998 is divided by the number of customers at that time and multiplied by the number of current customers to obtain a projected demand for electricity for the 1999 ozone season. The historical data also provides a computer model of power plant operations. For example, for the same unit type and fuel as currently under consideration, the 1998 data can be used to obtain the rate at which a particular pollutant is emitted per megawatt of output for a given unit using a given fuel. Multiplying this rate by the projected demand for each day of the specified time period in 1999 gives the projected average daily rate of pollutant emission for the specified time period in 1999. Mathematically integrating the projected average daily rate of pollutant emission as a function of time yields a curve indicating the total mass of the particular pollutant emitted as a

function of time. This curve is called the 1998 basis forecast for the particular pollutant under consideration. An example of such a curve, labeled 1998 basis forecast, showing the projected NO_x emissions for the entire power generation enterprise or utility is illustrated in Fig. 4. The graph in Fig. 4 also illustrates the NO_x cap that has been imposed by regulatory agencies for the year 1999. To navigate to the graph in Fig. 4, the user would click the button 92 with a pointing device such as a mouse and then click the button 74 to view the forecasted NO_x emissions on an enterprise-wide basis. After clicking the button 92 the user would ordinarily be presented with a list of pollutants from which the user can select a particular pollutant for analysis.

A simulated button 100 is displayed at the bottom right of the graph in Fig. 4. clicking the button 100 presents a drop down menu to the user which contains the various actions that can be taken to reduce the amount of the particular pollutant emitted. The menu activated by button 100 has a list of entries for every unit within the enterprise. The list of entries for each unit includes a menu item for each type of fuel that can be used by the particular unit. The fuel type currently being used would be highlighted. The list of entries for each unit would also include option for changing unit type, adding a scrubber, adding a SCR, and adding ancillary equipment as part of a cogeneration scheme. These latter options are referred to as capital improvements. Other options presented to the user include an outage schedule for the unit and unit start-up and shutdown. The reduction options menu would also include

enterprise-wide options such as purchasing power from outside the enterprise, viewing an inventory of emission allowances, and buying or selling emission allowances.

5 In the example illustrated in Fig. 4 the 1998 basis forecast obviously exceeds the NO_x cap. The utility administrator must take some action to lower the projected NO_x emission. For example, the administrator may elect to switch the fuel in some of the units from coal to oil which is a cleaner burning fuel. Upon this change in operating parameters, the computer will recalculate the NO_x output for those units whose fuel has been changed. This recalculation is accomplished using the same technique as was used previously, except that the daily average rate of pollutant emission per megawatt generated, used in the recalculation, is that for the new fuel. The computer model of plant operations, based on 10 the historical data, is again used to empirically determine the daily average rate of pollutant emission per megawatt generated. The new curve for the projected NO_x emissions, labeled 1999 forecast, is also displayed on the same graph shown in Fig. 4 so that the user can readily visualize the effects of the selected 15 emission reduction options.

20 As can be seen from Fig. 4, the new projections, using the new fuel, indicate that a lesser amount of pollutant will be emitted by the electric utility. However, the new projections also exceed the emission cap, and therefore the administrator or user will have to repeat the process by selecting a new set of emission reduction options and viewing the new projected emission curve to see if the

new projected emissions are in compliance with the emissions cap. This same iterative process can be performed for all the pollutant species of interest. Once the emission reduction options which yield emissions that are in compliance with the emission caps have been identified, then the user or administrator dispatches the new operating parameters to the unit operators to ensure the compliance of the utility with the mandated emission caps.

Cleaner burning fuels are generally more expensive than the dirtier fuels, capital improvements require huge investments of funds, buying emission allowances or power from other sources costs money, and planned outages result in lost revenue. In short, any emission reduction option entails some costs which detrimentally affect the utility's profits. Further, a substantial number of different pollution control options may lead to the desired reduction in pollutant emissions, however, each option will have a different impact on the company's profits. Therefore, the administrator or manager of the utility must perform the above described emissions forecasting procedure for every available pollution reduction scenario, and select the pollution reduction scenario that results in emissions below the mandated emissions caps and that has the least negative impact on the company's profits.

This optimization process is not as daunting as it may first appear. As a practical matter, not every conceivable pollution reduction option is available for solving a particular emission control problem. For example, if pollutant emissions must be

reduced over a given period which starts two months from now, then purchasing new capital equipment which may take years to install is not an available option. Also, for a plant that is along an inland waterway, it may take months for a fuel shipment to reach such a plant by barge. Therefore, a fuel switch may not be a viable short term solution for such a power plant. On the other hand, for a plant which receives fuel by a permanent pipeline from a tank farm located near an oceanside tanker terminal, a fuel switch could be effected immediately. Therefore, the actual number of combinations of pollution reduction options that are available for dealing with a particular pollution reduction problem is usually small enough such that the user can work through each combination of options one by one until he or she arrives at the optimum solution. Because the computer 12 is already programmed with the fuel prices, the cost of capital improvements as provided by equipment vendors, and the rate the utility charges its customers, the cost of each pollution control scenario can be automatically calculated by the computer and displayed to the utility's manager so that the manager can select the scenario with the lowest cost to the utility.

Nevertheless, going through each iteration of the optimization process would still present a very tedious undertaking. The control program of the computer 12 can be readily modified to automatically perform this optimization process, and therefore relieve the user of this tedious task. The automatic optimization can be accomplished by adding an index controlled loop that calculates the attendant cost for every combination of available

pollution control options and stores the indices for each combination of options whose cost is lower than the cost of the preceding combination of option. Once the loop has executed for all available combinations of options, then the stored indices point to the combination of options with the lowest cost.

Other variables that can affect the choice of a pollution control scenario are emission allowances and the purchasing of power from outside sources. Emission allowances are created when a utility has been able to produce its needed generation requirements without the pollutants it has emitted reaching the mandated caps. This utility can then sell its right to emit additional pollutants to other utilities which will not be able to meet the caps using their available option. Emission allowances are traded in 1000 lb units and their price is usually set by brokers. Purchasing emission allowances can be treated as just another emission reduction option with an attendant cost and factored into the control program of the computer 12. Purchasing power from outside sources can also be handle in the same way. The market clearing price of purchased power is also set by special brokers and can be supplied to the computer 12 as part of the input data 18.

In addition to executing the control program described above, the computer 12 is continually receiving real time data from the CEM devices 14 and the power output measuring means 16. This real time data is added to the computer's data base to allow for more accurate determination of the empirically obtained pollutant

emission rates. Also the real time data is continually compared to the projected values to obtain correction factors that can be used to further refine the computer projections.

Clicking the button 76 presents the user with a list of power stations that make up the utility generation capability. The user can then select an individual power station for which projection emission curves, similar to those of Fig. 4, are to be generated. These projected emission curves for an individual power station are shown in Fig. 5. Clicking the button 78 presents the user with a list of units within the chosen power station. The user can then select an individual unit for which projected emission curves are to be generated. These projected emission curves for an individual unit are shown in Fig. 6.

It is to be understood that the present invention is not limited to the embodiments described above, but encompasses any and all embodiments within the scope of the following claims.